

# Stop TB Partnership Board Meeting

January 31, 2014  
Cape Town

# The Executive Committee reviewed the outputs from the retreat and from the hosting review report to determine a way forward to a hosting decision

The Executive Committee reviewed information from multiple sources...

- Agreed-upon board principles
- Hosting review report
- Board retreat discussions
- Analysis provided from external consultancy

...to make a recommendation to the Board for the path to reaching a hosting decision

- Recommendations include
  - 1 Criteria for assessment
  - 2 Proposed options for further exploration
  - 3 Timeline

# The Board approved a set of principles in Ottawa which was requested to negotiate a hosting arrangement with WHO

- Board authority to **make decisions on the Partnership's strategic direction** as well as **human and financial resources** against the strategy, to be implemented by the Secretariat
- Board authority for **oversight and performance assessment of the Executive Secretary** including decision-making on hiring and termination
- A **clear identity and mandate** for the Stop TB Partnership that is recognizable to all stakeholders
- Ability of the Board, directly and through the Secretariat, to **communicate with its partners**
- **Efficient, flexible, and accountable administrative processes** to enable the Partnership Secretariat to implement board decisions expeditiously and in the full spirit of those board decisions
- **Flexibility to attract a diverse set of donor resources** to support the Secretariat and activities

# Criteria for assessment of hosting criteria (1/2)

**Board authority** to make decisions on the **Partnership's strategic direction** as well as human and financial resources against the strategy, to be implemented by the Secretariat

- Independence and authority of the Board to set Partnership strategy and manage performance
- Ability<sup>1</sup> to retain operational independence without representing a liability to the host
- Independence of the Executive Secretary in HR decisions

**Board authority for oversight and performance assessment of the Executive Secretary** including decision-making on hiring and termination

- Independence and authority of the Board including its ability to recruit, assess performance, and terminate contract of the Executive Secretary

**A clear identity and mandate** for the Stop TB Partnership that is recognizable to all stakeholders

- Ability to demonstrate clear (non-conflicting) identity as the Stop TB Partnership<sup>2</sup>
- Ability to clearly brand as the Stop TB Partnership

**Ability of the Board**, directly and through the Secretariat, to **communicate with its partners**

- Ability to access and engage with diverse range of partners: Affected communities countries, donors, private sector

<sup>1</sup> Ability (in all instances) encompasses capacity and freedom

<sup>2</sup> Includes recognition of mandate

# Criteria for assessment of hosting criteria (2/2)

## **Efficient, flexible, and accountable administrative processes**

to enable the Partnership Secretariat to implement board decisions expeditiously and in the full spirit of those board decisions

- Long-term stability of the administrative environment
- Ability to choose location/presence in priority geographies
- Ability and authority of the Executive Secretary to implement board decisions
- Ability to attract, recruit and retain the talent required to deliver against the partnership's mission
- Ability to promote innovation quickly
- Ability to easily access technical expertise
- Ability to deliver high quality efficiently (through strong infrastructure, e.g., grant management infrastructure, and with minimal administrative burden)<sup>1</sup>
- Overall cost of hosting arrangement (incl. labor cost, admin cost...)
- Tax and customs benefits
- Cost transparency and ability to influence cost
- Ability to attract/receive funds from donors

**Flexibility to attract a diverse set of donor resources** to support the Secretariat and activities

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Ability to effectively engage and support partners (e.g., private sector, civil society, community and activists)

# Last March, the hosting review team presented nine options for exploration to the EC, who chose the three most viable for an in-depth review

			Considered for hosting report?	In-depth review?
UN	UN organization	UNOPS	✓	✓
		UNDP	✓	✓
		UNICEF	✓	✗
Non-UN	Other int'l organization	World Bank	✓	✗
	NGO	IFRC	✓	✗
		The Union	✓	✓
	Private foundation	Global Fund	✓	✗
		MSF	✓	✗
		KNCV	✓	✗
Total			9	3

Nine options were presented for consideration to the EC; they chose to explore three of them in-depth based on feasibility, capacity, interest in hosting.

# Options for consideration

PRELIMINARY

## Why?

---

### UNOPS

- Based on the Ottawa principles, UNOPS is most viable alternative UN host
  - Provides similar status/protections as provided by current host and offers UN brand
  - Provides flexible administrative policies to meet the needs of the Partnership
  - Operates as a service provider in hosting partnerships (“this is their business”)
- 

### Independence

- In the changing TB landscape and based on retreat conversations around vision in the coming years, independence could be viable way to achieve future vision
- “Independence” refers to a spectrum of options that must be explored in greater detail to understand status which could be gained, advantages and disadvantages, costs

## Proposed timeline

**January 31-** Board decision on criteria and options to study

**February 6** - Staff briefing by Vice-Chair to Stop TB Partnership Secretariat

**Week of March 10** –

- One day in person/VC EC meeting for consultants to present analysis on options with aim of EC being able make a recommendation
- EC issues communication to Board

**Mid-March – May** - Transition planning (if required)

**Mid June** –

- One day in person/VC EC meeting to review transition planning (if required) and prepare recommendation to the Board
- EC issues communication to Board

**Early July** – Board meeting to make final decision based on EC recommendation with costed, transition plan